Machine Tool Crack Detection using Operational Modal Characteristics

Akhil R¹, Sudheer A²

¹M Tech student, Department of Mechanical Engineering, TKM College of Engineering, Kollam, Kerala, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, TKM College of Engineering, Kollam, Kerala, India

Abstract—Machine tool crack detection is to detect any damages in the tool during machining and to predict the breakage of the tool by identifying the appearance of small cracks in the tool during machining. Machine tool state monitoring is critical for controlling the work piece quality and production continuity in the case of mass production. For assessing tool breakage, machine tool vibration monitoring is a suitable means. The results obtained in FE analysis are validated with experimental data. This work gives a methodology for online crack detection in machine tools. This work presents an experimental technique for measuring modal parameters of a rectangular aluminium plate with cantilever boundary condition using only the output data, with the intention to apply the technique to machine tools. Operational modal analysis is be used for damage detection by determining the depth as well as the position of tool cracks. The results obtained are validated with finite element analysis. To locate the crack, 3D graphs of the normalized frequency in terms of the crack depth and location are plotted. The intersection of these contours gives crack location and crack depth. Out of several case studies conducted the results of one of the case study is presented to demonstrate the applicability and efficiency of the method suggested.

Keywords— crack, finite element analysis, frequency, mode, operational modal analysis, tool.

I. INTRODUCTION

Crack damage is one of the main reasons for the machine tool structure damage. Cracks are small imperfections within a material that are created during manufacturing or loading. Material imperfections which arise at the time of production or usage of the material are unavoidable, and hence must be taken into account. Indeed even microscopic flaws may cause structures which are assumed to be safe to fail, as they grow over time. So the cracks must be detected to avoid such calamities.

Traditional experimental modal analysis (EMA) makes use of input (excitation) and output (response) measurements to estimate modal parameters, consisting of modal frequencies, damping ratios, mode shapes and modal participation factors. Operational Modal Analysis structure is under its operating conditions, i.e., no initial excitation or known artificial excitation. The modal properties of a structure include primarily the natural frequencies, damping ratios and mode shapes. Ertugrul Cam, Sadettin Orhan, and Murat Luy [1] conducted dynamic analysis based on impact echo method of the cracked beam and a finite element model of the cracked beams was constructed to verify the experimental results. Sadettin Orhan [2] performed free and forced vibration analysis of a cracked beam in order to identify the crack in a cantilever beam. X. F. Yang, A. S. J. Swamidas and R. Seshadri [3] proposed an energy-based numerical model is developed to investigate the influence of cracks on structural dynamic characteristics during the vibration of a beam with open crack(s). D.Y. Zheng and N.J. Kessissoglou [4] obtained the natural frequencies and mode shapes of a cracked beam using the finite element method. Jyoti K. Sinha and Michael I. Friswell [5] conducted the experimental vibration behaviour of a freefree beam with a breathing crack is simulated for a sinusoidal input force using a simple FE model for a crack in beam. Detection of the crack presence on the surface of beam-type structural element using natural frequency is presented by Kaushar H. Barad, D. S. Sharma and Vishal Vyas [6]. H. Nahvi and M. Jabbari [7] established a method for predicting the location and depth of a crack in a cantilever beam using experimental vibration data. N. T. Khiem and T. V. Lien [8] introduced a new method for natural frequency analysis of beam with an arbitrary number of cracks is developed on the bases of the transfer matrix method and rotational spring model of crack. Jacek Grosel, Wojciech Sawicki and Wojciech Pakos [9] compared the Classical and Operational Modal Analysis on the basis of engineering structures. P. Mohanty and D. J. Rixen [10] detailed a procedure to deduce modal parameters from operational response measurements. Operational modal analysis techniques allow us to extract the modal properties of structures based on their response to non-measured stationary white noise. Y. F. Xu and W.D. Zhu [11] presented a noncontact experimental technique for measuring modal

(OMA) aims at identifying the modal properties of a

structure based on vibration data collected when the

parameters of a rectangular aluminum plate with free boundaries using only the output data, with the intention to apply the technique to turbine blades.

1.1 Objectives

To obtain a set of modal analysis data of machine tool with cracks at different positions, depth and different numbers. To conduct experimental modal analysis of intact cutting tool and operational modal analysis for intact, single cracked and double cracked cutting tool. Investigate the presence of crack in cutting tool using modal data generated using finite element analysis. To compare of results between experimental and numerical analyses results.

II. EXPERIMANTATION

Experimental modal analysis and Operational modal analysis are conducted to find the modal parameters such as mode shape and natural frequencies of the structure.

2.1 Test Specimen

Rectangular aluminium plate is selected as the test specimen with the intention to apply the technique to machine cutting tool. The dimensions and material properties of the rectangular aluminum plate being studied are shown in Table 1.

Table 1	: Test	specimen	parameters
---------	--------	----------	------------

Parameter	Value
Length	0.4m
Width	0.02m
Thickness	0.006m
Young's modulus	69GN/m ²
Poison's Ratio	0.33
Density	2700kg/m ³

2.2 Experimental setup

rectangular aluminium plate is used for А experimentation. The plate is supported at one end by a bench vice at one end so as to form a cantilever beam. The beam is excited with the help of an impact hammer of sensitivity 2.25mV/N. Transverse cracks are made in the beam artificially by using a saw. Integrated electronic piezoelectric (IEPE) accelerometers of sensitivity 100mV/g are used in this work. The NI USB-4431is used to collect the data and ME'scope VES from Vibrant Technology is used for operational vibrations and modal analysis.

2.2 Stages for conducting Experiment

A rectangular aluminium plate is selected as the test specimen whose parameters are mentioned in Table 1. First, Experimental modal analysis of intact beam is conducted and the responses are measured. Next, Operational modal analysis (OMA) of intact beam, single cracked and double cracked beam is conducted. The crack is introduced in the beam at depth of 1mm and 3mm, at a position of 2cm from the fixed end. For a double cracked beam, crack is made at a depth of 3mm (2cm from the fixed end) and depth of 2mm (20cm from the fixed end).

Operational Modal Analysis (OMA) requires the response signals of the investigated structure, the signals of the input forces are not needed. Two accelerometers are placed at two positions on the beam and the force is applied using an impact hammer, but the force is not measured. One accelerometer measures roving response and other serves for measuring reference response. Crosscorrelation functions between the roving and the reference can be generated and used for modal parameter estimation. OMA setup is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1: OMA setup

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Numerical analysis is conducted on the aluminium plate using commercial FE software ANSYS 15.0. The boundary conditions of the plate are set to be one end fixed so as to form a cantilever beam. The natural frequencies and mode shapes of the first 2 bending modes are calculated.



Fig. 2: Finite Element model of cracked beam

The modal analysis of the intact, cracked and double cracked aluminium beam is done with cantilever boundary condition. The effect of crack depth on natural frequency of the beam is compared with the result of OMA). Analysis is also conducted for different crack depths at different locations to study the effect of crack depth and location on natural frequency and mode shape of the beam. These data are used to identify the depth and location of the crack.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The FRF diagrams of Experimental modal analysis (EMA) and Operational modal analysis (OMA) are obtained for intact beam, which are compared to find the

validity of OMA. The natural frequency of 1^{st} bending mode and 2^{nd} bending mode of intact, single cracked and double cracked beam are obtained from OMA, which are compared with the results of numerical analysis.

The FRF diagram (Fig. 3) of intact beam as a result of Experimental modal analysis (EMA) is obtained. The first peak shows the 1st bending mode frequency which is 31Hz and second peak shows the 2nd bending mode frequency which is 205Hz.



Fig. 3: FRF diagram of intact beam (EMA)

The FRF diagram of intact beam as a result of Operational modal analysis (OMA) is shown in Fig. 4. The first peak shows the 1st bending mode frequency which is 30.3Hz and second peak shows the 2nd bending mode frequency which is 197Hz.



Fig. 4: FRF diagram of intact beam (OMA)

The frequency of intact beam obtained from OMA matches with the frequency of intact beam obtained from EMA with an error of 2.2% and 3.9% for 1^{st} and 2^{nd} bending mode respectively. The 1^{st} and 2^{nd} bending mode frequencies of beam under different conditions obtained from OMA are listed in the Table 2.

 Table 2: 1st and 2nd bending mode frequencies of beam

 under different condition

Condition of crack	Position of	of crack	Frequency (Hz)		
	Distance	Depth	1^{st}	2^{nd}	
	from	(mm)	bending	bending	
	fixed		mode	mode	
	end				
	(cm)				
0					
No crack	0	0	30.3	197	
Single	2	1	29.9	194	
crack	2	3	28.5	190	
Double	2	3	28.1	187	
crack	20	2			

4.1 Comparison of results of experimental and numerical analysis

The first and second bending modes obtained from experimental (Fig. 5) and numerical analysis (Fig. 6) is compared in this section



Fig. 5: Experiment (a) 1st bending mode and (b) 2nd bending mode



Fig. 6: Numerical analysis (a) 1^{st} bending mode and (b) 2^{nd} bending mode

The 1st and 2nd bending mode frequencies obtained from OMA are compared with that of numerical analysis. The comparison is listed in the Table 3.

Condition of the beam	on Position of crack		Experiment al Frequency (Hz)	Numerica l Frequenc y (Hz)	Error %	Experiment al Frequency (Hz)	Num Frequ (H	erical uency Iz)	Error %
	Distance from fixed end (cm)	Depth (mm)	1 st bending mode	1 st bending mode		2 nd bending mode	2 nd be mo	2 nd bending mode	
No crack	0	0	30.3	30.713	-1.36	197	192	2.27	2.4
Single crack	2	1	29.9	30.476	-1.92	194	191	191.28	
Double crack	2 2 20	3 3 2	28.5 28.1	28.570 28.444	-0.24 -1.22	190 187	184.05 179.98		3.13 3.7
			Table 4: Norm	alized first mo	de frequei	псу			
Crack position	Crack depth (mm)		0.5	1	1.	5	2	2.	.5
from the fixed (end (mm)								
	50	().997591	0.993879	0.983	362 0.97	70501	0.94	3705
	100 150	ſ	0.99847	0.996125	0.989	418 0.98 000 0.08	81083	0.96	3338 3869 9776
	150 200	() 999577	0.997819	0.994	135 0.90	9223	0.97	
	250	().999837	0.999609	0.998	958 0.99	98112	0.99	6223
	300	().999967	0.999902	0.999	974 0.99	9577	0.99	9153
	350		1	0.999967	0.999	967 0.99	99967	0.999	9935
		,	Table 5: Norma	lized second m	ode frequ	ency			
$\overline{}$	Crack depth		0.5	1	1.	-	2	2	-
Crack position from the fixed o	(mm) end (mm)		0.5	I	1.:	5	2	2.	.5
	50	().999376	0.998492	0.995	943 0.99	92875	0.98	6789
	100	(0.999948		0.999	584 0.9	9922 0.99		8544
	150	().999012	0.997452	0.993	135 0.98	87778	0.97	6491
	200	().998232	0.995475	0.987	726 0.97	78156	0.95	8236
	250 200	().998492	0.996151	0.989	546 0.98	31328	0.96	3749
	300 250	().999376	0.99844	0.995	683 0.99	92198 00116	0.98	4553 2294
	350	0.999896		0.9997/92	0.999	552 0.9 <u>9</u>	19116	0.99	8284

Tahle	3.	Comparison	ofex	merimental	and	numerical	analysis	results
rubie	э.	Comparison	Ој ел	perimentat	unu	numericai	unuiysis	resuits

As the experimental value matches with numerical analysis values with a small error, normalized frequencies are determined for different crack depth and crack are shown in the Table 4 and Table 5 respectively. These normalized frequencies obtained from finite element analysis (Ansys) for different crack depth and location are used to study the effect of crack in natural frequencies and mode shape of the cantilever beam.

4.2 Variation of normalized frequencies with crack depth and location



Fig. 7: Normalized first mode frequency vs crack position



Vormalized second mode frequency 1.005 crack depth 1 (mm) 0.995 0.99 0.5 0.985 -1 0.98 0.975 1.5 0.97 2 0.965 0.96 2.5 0.955 0 100 200 400 300 Position of the crack from the fixed end (mm)

Figure 8: Normalized first mode frequency vs crack depth

Fig. 9: Normalized second mode frequency vs crack position

location from finite element analysis. Normalized frequency is the ratio of cracked beam frequency to that frequency of intact beam.



Fig. 10: Normalized second mode frequency vs crack depth

It is clear from the Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 that first mode frequency is least affected when the crack depth is 0.5mm. The fundamental frequency is mostly affected when the crack depth is 2.5mm and is located at 50mm from the fixed end. From Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 it is observed that second mode frequency is mostly affected for a crack depth of 2.5mm, when the crack is located at 200 mm from the fixed end i.e., at the center of the cantilever beam. From all these observations it can be concluded that the change in frequencies is a function of crack depth as well as the location of the crack.

4.3 Identification of crack depth and location

The three dimensional plot of normalized first mode frequency and second mode frequency with crack depth and crack location is shown in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 respectively. On the basis of 3d surface plot a contour line, which has the same normalized frequency resulting from a combination of different crack depth and crack location can be plotted with crack location and crack depth as its axes.



Fig. 11: Three dimensional surface plot of normalized first mode frequency vs crack depth and location



Fig. 12: Three dimensional surface plot of normalized second mode frequency vs crack depth and location

From Table 4 and Table 5, it is found that for a crack depth of 2mm at a distance of 200mm from the fixed end, the 1^{st} mode and 2^{nd} mode normalized frequencies of the beam are obtained as 0.9948 and 0.9782. The contour lines with the values of 0.9948 and 0.9782 are retrieved from the first two modes respectively as shown in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14.



Fig. 13: Contour plot of normalized first mode frequency (0.9948) vs crack depth and location



Fig. 14: Contour plot of normalized second mode frequency (0.99782) vs crack depth and location

To determine the crack depth and crack location, the contour line with the values of 0.9948 and 0.9782 are plotted on the same axes as shown in the Fig. 15. It is observed that the two contour lines are intersected at a

www.ijaems.com

common point, which indicates the location and depth of the crack.



Fig. 15: Crack identification technique using frequency contours

V. CONCLUSIONS

The Experimental Modal Analysis (EMA) matches with Operational Modal Analysis (OMA) of an intact with an error of 2.2% for first mode and 3.9% for second mode. It is due to the additional mass of the reference accelerometer used in the OMA as compared to EMA, where a fixed accelerometer is only used for measuring the response. OMA is conducted for beams having crack at different position and location and it matches with numerical analysis values with a maximum error of 3.8%, because it is very difficult to achieve fixed boundary condition experimentally at one end. It is found that crack location and crack depth produce noticeable effects in the first and second bending mode frequencies. Natural frequency decreases with increase in crack depth due to reduction in stiffness of the beam. It also decreases as the crack moves towards the fixed end. The reason is that the presence of crack near the fixed end reduces the stiffness near the support significantly. Contour lines of normalized frequencies obtained from three dimensional surface plot of normalized frequency with crack depth and location are used to identify the crack depth and location. It is a method for predicting the location and depth of the crack based on changes in the natural frequencies of the tool under operating conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Advanced Dynamic Control Lab (ADCL) in College of Engineering, Trivandrum for their technical support for this work.

REFERENCES

 Ertugrul Cam, Sadettin Orhan, Murat Luy, "An analysis of cracked beam structure using impact echo method", NDT&E International 38, 2005, pp.368–373

- [2] Sadettin Orhan, "Analysis of free and forced vibration of a cracked cantilever beam", NDT&E International 40, 2007, pp.443–450.
- [3] X. F. Yang, A. S. J. Swamidas, R. Seshadri, "Crack identification in vibrating beams using the energy method", Journal of Sound and vibration 244(2), 2001, pp.339-357.
- [4] D.Y. Zheng, N.J. Kessissoglou, "free vibration analysis of a cracked beam by finite element method", Journal of Sound and Vibration 273, 2004, pp.457–475.
- [5] Jyoti K. Sinha, Michael I. Friswell, "Simulation of the dynamic response of a cracked beam", Computers and Structures 80, 2002, pp.1473–1476.
- [6] Kaushar H. Barad, D. S. Sharma, Vishal Vyas, "Crack detection in cantilever beam by frequency based method", Procedia Engineering 51, 2013, pp.770 – 775.
- [7] H. Nahvi, M. Jabbari, "Crack detection in beams using experimental modal data and finite element model", International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 47, 2005, pp.1477–1497.
- [8] N. T. Khiem, T. V. Lien, "Simplified method for natural frequency analysis of a multiple cracked beam", Journal of Sound and Vibration 245(4), 2001, pp.737-751.
- [9] Jacek Grosel, Wojciech Sawicki, Wojciech Pakos, "Application of Classical and Operational Modal Analysis for Examination of Engineering Structures", Procedia Engineering 91, 2014, pp.136 – 141.
- [10] P. Mohanty, D.J. Rixen., "Identifying Mode Shapes and Modal Frequencies by Operational Modal Analysis in the Presence of Harmonic Excitation" Society for Experimental Mechanics, VoL 45, 2005, pp.213-220.
- [11] Y. F. Xu, W. D. Zhu., "Operational modal analysis of a rectangular plate using non-contact excitation and measurement" Journal of Sound and Vibration 332, 2013, pp.4927–4939.